

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/11/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/682,223	10/09/2003	Mark A. Schubert	SP-1665.1 US	8111
20875	7590 10/11/2006		EXAMINER	
	C. POPHAL		СНЏ, НЕ	LEN OK
	BATTERY COMPANY	INC	ABTIDIT	DARED MUMBER
25225 DETR	OIT ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P O BOX 450777			1745	
WESTLAKE	OH 44145	•		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			سان
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/682,223	SCHUBERT ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Helen O. Chu	1745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address -	· -
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a rewill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed "HS from the mailing date of this communica NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> ·		
, <u> </u>	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowal			s is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) 5 is/are withdrawn from	om consideration.	,	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	n alastian namuinamant		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 October 2003</u> is/are	: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ ob	jected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form P1O-152	. .
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
Certified copies of the priority document			
2. Certified copies of the priority document			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	•	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		received .	. •
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies flot i		
Attachment(s)	_		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 	5) D Notice of In	formal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/10/2003, 3/25/2005</u> .	6)	_ ·	

Application/Control Number: 10/682,223 Page 2

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1-12,18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious Schubert et al. (US Publication 2003/0118902) in view of Chen et al. (US Patent 6,236,205).
- 3. In regard to claims 1-5, the Schubert et al. reference discloses an electrochemical cell with an electrode assembly (Paragraph 37) metal containers (Paragraph 2), metal covers (Paragraph 21). The sealant is made of thermoplastic material comprising of polyolefin matrix (Applicants' thermoplastic resin) which seals an aperture in at least one of the container and the first cover and forming at least a part of the pressure relief vent for releasing pressure (Paragraph 44). The Schubert et al. reference does not disclose a thermo-stabilizing filler made of E-glass, however, the Chen et al. reference discloses a gasket made of 20% E-glass in a suitable thermoplastic resin matrix made of polyphenylene sulfide (Column 6, Lines 36-40) for effective reinforcement (Column 5 Line 5). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to incorporate the gasket with 20% E-glass as taught by Chen et al. into the sealant of the electrochemical battery as taught

Application/Control Number: 10/682,223

Art Unit: 1745

by Schubert et al. to have a strong sealant that would reduce electrolyte leakage of the battery.

In regards to claim 6, the Schubert et al. reference discloses a second seal thermoplastic seal member providing a seal between the container and the metal cover (Figure 5, Component 32).

In regards to claim 7, the Schubert et al. reference discloses a hollow cylindrical shape which is disposed within the aperture in the first metal cover (Figure 5, Component 32)

In regard to claim 8 and 9, the Schubert et al. reference illustrates pressure relief vent (Figure 5,Component 46 and 48) within the first thermoplastic seal member (Component 32) and the first cover (Component 34). The first thermoplastic seal member and the sphere plug cooperate to form a compression seal for the aperture.

In regard to claims 12, the Shubert et al. reference discloses a non-aqueous electrolyte (Paragraph 42)

In regard to claims 10 and 11, according to the Shubert et al. reference, the vent bushing may be a seal member (Paragraph 42) since the vent ball and vent bushing is part of the ventilation device as taught by Shubert et al. it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to produces the vent ball with the same material as that of the vent bushing, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416*.

Application/Control Number: 10/682,223

Art Unit: 1745

4. Claims 13-17, 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schubert et al. in view of Chen et al., as applied to claim 12, and further in view of Bakos et al. (US Patent 4,804,595).

The Schubert et al. reference in view of Chen et al. discloses an electrochemical battery as applied to claim 12 and further incorporated herein. The Shubert et al. and Chen et al. reference also discloses a lithium battery (Paragraph 32) but does not disclose some of the specific elements in a lithium battery. However, the Bakos et al. reference discloses a lithium battery with a lithium anode, a manganese cathode and tetrahydrofuran as an organic electrolyte (boiling point of 62 °C) which generally conferred to superior electrical performance to lithium battery when present as the ether component of a lithium battery electrolyte. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to use the lithium battery component as taught by Bakos et al. as the lithium cell as disclose by Schubert to enhance performance in a battery or an electrochemical cell.

5. Claims 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schubert et al. in view of Chen et al., as applied to claim 18, and further in view of Yasuda et al. (US Patent 4,501,805).

The Schubert et al. reference in view of Chen et al. discloses an electrochemical battery as applied to claim 18 and further incorporated herein. The Shubert et al. and Chen et al. reference also discloses a lithium battery (Paragraph 32) with gaskets made of thermoplastic resin and 20% thermo-stabilizing filler but does not disclose the thermoplastic resin material is ethylene-tetrafluoroethylene. However, the Yasuda et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/682,223

Art Unit: 1745

reference discloses a gasket made of ethylene-tetrafluoroethylene for insulation (Column 1, Lines 39-51). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time in the invention was made to incorporate ethylene-tetrafluoroethylene as disclosed by Yasuda et al. into the gasket as disclosed by Shubert et al. and Chen et al. to prevent electrolyte creepage.

It is noted that claims 22 are product-by-process claims. "Even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." In re Thorpe, 777 F. 2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985). Since product is similar to that of the Applicant's process is not given patentable weight in this claim.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Helen O. Chu whose telephone number is (571) 272-5162. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8am-4: 30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/682,223 Page 6

Art Unit: 1745

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HOC

SUSYTSANG-FOSTER PRIMARY EXAMINER

Away Isang Foster